

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

No

L	APPLICATION NO. FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INV	ENTOR	A <sup>*</sup>	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/600.931 0	7/21/00	YAMAMOTO		К	362-43PCT/U	
Г	GERALD T BODNER HOFFMAN & BARON 6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE		MMC1/0409	コ	EXAMINER		
			PINC170403		LEE.E		
			-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SYOSSET NY 117				2815		
				•	DATE MAILED:		
						04/09/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

•											
		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)						
	Office Action Summary	09/600,931		YAMAMOTO ET AL.							
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit							
		Eugene Lee	•	2815							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address											
Period for Reply											
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status											
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21 July 2000 .									
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠	This action is no	on-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims											
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applica	ation.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.											
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.										
7)											
8) 🗌	Claims are subject to restriction an	nd/or election req	uirement.								
Application Papers											
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example 1	miner.									
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 s'uly 2000</u> is/are objected to by the Examiner.										
12)											
Priority (	under <b>35 U.S.C.</b> § 119										
	13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ Bone of:										
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been	received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.											
14) Acknowledgement is mad. of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).											
Attachmen			-								
16) 🔲 Not	15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draving Review (PTO-948)  17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) **TO-1449) Paper No(s)  20) Other:										

Art Unit: 2815

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Drawings

1. FIG. 1 thru 4 should be designated by a legend such as -- Prior Art-- because only that

which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they

do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: element 11 (see page

4, line 19). Correction is required.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: there is no line IV-

IV in Figure 3 (see page 3, line 25); there is a typographical error on page 4, line 8 (i.e. "-");

there is a typographical error on page 1, line 24 (i.e. "especial"); there are grammatical errors

("so-called ... has being") on page 1, lines 11-13. There are numerous other grammatical and

typographical errors in the disclosure, and the applicant is required to correct the ones cited

above and those *not* specifically mentioned.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 9 contains a

typographical error (i.e. claim 9 is dependent on itself). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

· Application/Control Number: 09/600,931

Art Unit: 2815

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who

has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention

thereof by the applicant for patent.

6. Claims 1 thru 6 and 8 thru 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Jain '423. Jain discloses (see, for example, FIG. 13) a damascene interconnection comprising a

conductor-filled trench (interconnection trench) 64 and insulating pillars (protrusions) 50. FIG.

10 shows a plan view of a pillared landing pad (pad trench) 55 where multiple protrusions are

dispersed throughout.

FIG. 6 shows the damascene interconnection formed by a patterned insulating layer 22

over a substrate 20. Conducting segments (conductive film) 32, 44 and 46 lie between insulating

pillars 38. In column 5, lines 34-45, Jain states that the incorporation of insulating pillars divides

a wider conductor and, hence, minimizes dishing.

a. Regarding claims 4 and 11, see FIG. 3 and element 38. Also, in column 6, lines

45-53, Jain states that many other insulating patterns may be construed that

produce the same effect (i.e. reducing dishing by the narrowing of wide trenches).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

Page 3

· Application/Control Number: 09/600,931

Art Unit: 2815

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jain '423 as applied to claims 1 thru 6 and 8 thru 13, and further in view of Jaso et al. '140. Jain does not show a damascene interconnection comprising a contact hole formed in said pad trench and electrically connecting between said conductive film and another conductive film arranged in a level lower than said insulating film. However, Jaso shows (see, for example, FIG. 8) a semiconductor device comprising interconnects formed by a damascene process. An aluminum layer 26 is connected to a lower conductive metal layer 14 by way of a filled metal via 18. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include this metal via and conductive metal layer in Jain's invention in order to connect the conducting segments of Jain's invention to an underlying conductor within the substrate, as shown by Jaso.

## INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Lee whose telephone number is 703-305-5695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Application/Control Number: 09/600,931

Art Unit: 2815

Eugene Lee April 4, 2001

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800